## SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD February 8-12, 1999

Date Issued	Type of Case(1)	Proceeding or Appn.	Party or Parties	TTAB Panel(2)	Issue	TTAB Decision	Opposer's or Petitioner's Mark and Goods or Services	Applicant's or Respondent's Mark and Goods or Services	Mark and Goods Cited by Examining Attorney	Examining Attorney	Citable as Precedent of TTAB
2-8	EX	74/722,676	Alaska Airlines, Inc.	Simms Chapman Bucher*	2(e)(1)	Refusal Affirmed [although application will be forwarded for publication under Sec. 2(f)]		"THE LAST GREAT AIRLINE" [air transportation services]		Stine	No
2-8	EX	74/703,747	Packaged Ice, Inc.	Seeherman* Hanak (D) Hairston	whether the matter asserted for registration functions as a trademark to identify applicant's goods in commerce	Refusal Affirmed		"PACKAGED ICE INC." (and design) [plastic bags for packaging]		Reihner	Yes
2-9	EX	74/722,331	Heineken Brou- werijen, B.V.	Hohein Chapman Bucher*	2(e)(2)	Refusal Affirmed		"CAFÉ AMSTERDAM" [restaurant and bar services]		Levy	No
2-10	EX EX EX	75/066,354 75/066,356 75/066,363	Northeast Express Transpor- tation, Inc.	Cissel Chapman* Bucher	2(d)	Refusal Affirmed (in all three cases)		"NEXTCOURIER" and "NEXTDISTRIBUTION" [both marks for courier services for letters, packages, parcels by ground transport]; "NEXTAIR" [airfreight services]		Martin	No

<sup>(1)</sup> EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

<sup>(2) \*=</sup>Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member

## SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD February 8-12, 1999 (continued)

Date Issued	Type of Case(1)	Proceeding or Appn.	Party or Parties	TTAB Panel(2)	Issue	TTAB Decision	Opposer's or Petitioner's Mark and Goods or Services	Applicant's or Respondent's Mark and Goods or Services	Mark and Goods Cited by Examining Attorney	Examining Attorney	Citable as Precedent of TTAB
2-10	EX EX EX	74/670,554 74/670,555 74/673,994	Visual Communi- cations Co., Inc.	Simms Wendel* Bucher	2(e)(5) [de jure functionality]; whether applicant's claimed marks are distinctive and registrable under Sec. 2(f)]	Refusal Affirmed (in all three cases)		three configurations of housings for mounting LEDs [housings for mounting LEDs]		G. Clark	Yes
2-12	OPP	94,301	CPI FAB, Inc. and CONOPCO, Inc. v. SFS- Spirituosen GmbH	Hanak* Quinn Hairston	2(d)	Opposition Sustained	"FABERGÉ" [cologne; fine china; fine crystal wine glasses, cordial glasses, and decanters; etc.]	"FABERGÉ" [spirits, liqueurs, and wine]			No
2-12	EX	75/089,735	Monograms America, Inc.	Seeherman Chapman Wendel*	whether the specimens of record demonstrate use of the mark in connection with the services recited in the application; 2(e)(2)	Refusal Affirmed (on both grounds)		"MONOGRAMS AMERICA" [consultation services for owners of monogramming shops]		Tingley	Yes
2-12	EX	74/478,686	Energy Absorption Systems, Inc.	Cissel Hairston* Walters	2(e)(1)	Refusal Affirmed		"CUSHIONWALL" [vehicle crash barriers made primarily of rubber]		F. Smith	No

<sup>(1)</sup> EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

<sup>(2) \*=</sup>Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member

## SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD February 8-12, 1999 (continued)

Date Issued	Type of Case(1)	Proceeding or Appn.	Party or Parties	TTAB Panel(2)	Issue	TTAB Decision	Opposer's or Petitioner's Mark and Goods or Services	Applicant's or Respondent's Mark and Goods or Services	Mark and Goods Cited by Examining Attorney	Examining Attorney	Citable as Precedent of TTAB
2-12	EX	74/410,829	Hartford Life Insurance Co.	Seeherman Hohein* Walters	2(d)	Refusal Affirmed		"CHOICE BENEFIT PLAN" [life insurance underwriting services]	"CHOICE OPTION" [group insurance underwriting services in the field of health and accident insurance and attendant group life insurance services]	Zak	No
2-12	EX	74/729,974	Polo International Inc.	Hohein Chapman* Wendel	2(e)(1)	Refusal Affirmed		"DOC-CONTROL" [computer software, namely, document management software]		Mermel- stein	No
2-12	OPP	96,391	Osage Footwear, Inc. v. Jivago, Inc.	Seeherman Quinn Hairston*	2(d)	Opposition Dismissed	design mark consisting of two stylized letter "J"s [shoes]; "JUMPING-JACKS" (and design consisting of two stylized letter "J"s) [footwear]; design mark consisting of a jack-in-the-box and two stylized letter "J"s [shoes]; "J.J. SPORT" (and design) [shoes, pants, shorts, shirts, and socks]; design mark consisting of a pair of parallel wavy lines applied to the sides of applicant's shoes [shoes]	design mark consisting of two highly stylized "J"s, overlapping, with one "J" facing backward [clothing, namely, active wear, sports wear, fashion wear and belts]			No

<sup>(1)</sup> EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

<sup>(2) \*=</sup>Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member